

1 ARIEL E. STERN, ESQ.
2 Nevada Bar No. 8276
3 NATALIE L. WINSLOW, ESQ.
4 Nevada Bar No. 12125
5 AKERMAN LLP
6 1160 Town Center Drive, Suite 330
7 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144
8 Telephone: (702) 634-5000
9 Facsimile: (702) 380-8572
10 Email: ariel.stern@akerman.com
11 Email: natalie.winslow@akerman.com

12 *Attorneys for Defendant Bank of America,
13 N.A.*

14 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

15 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

16 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

17 Plaintiff,

18 vs.

19 PAUL J. MALIKOWSKI,
20 BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.,

21 Defendants.

22 Case No.: 3:13-cv-00470-RCJ-VPC

23 **BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.'S ANSWER
24 TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT**

25 Bank of America, N.A. (**Bank of America**) answers as follows:

26 1. Bank of America admits the allegations in paragraph 1 of the complaint.

27 2. Bank of America is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the
28 truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 2 of the complaint, and therefore denies the same.

29 3. Paragraph 3 of the complaint contains a legal conclusion to which no response is reasonably
30 required. To the extent a response is nonetheless required, Bank of America admits that 26 U.S.C.
31 §§ 7402 and 7403 and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1340 and 1345 grant the Court jurisdiction, *inter alia*, to hear
32 matters by the United States to enforce federal tax liens.

33 4. Paragraph 4 of the complaint contains a legal conclusion to which no response is reasonably
34 required. To the extent a response is nonetheless required, Bank of America admits that 28 U.S.C.
35 §§ 1391(b) and 1396, *inter alia*, allow the United States to initiate a civil action to enforce federal
36 tax liens in the district of the taxpayer's residence or in the district where the tax return was filed.

5. Bank of America is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 5 of the complaint, and therefore denies the same.

6. Bank of America is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 6 of the complaint, and therefore denies the same.

7. Bank of America is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 7 of the complaint, and therefore denies the same.

8. Bank of America states that the grant, bargain and sale deed referenced in paragraph 8 of the complaint speaks for itself, and denies any allegation in paragraph 8 inconsistent with the deed.

9. Bank of America admits the allegations in paragraph 9 of the complaint.

10. Bank of America states that the deed of trust referenced in paragraph 10 of the complaint speaks for itself, and denies any allegation in paragraph 10 inconsistent with the deed of trust.

11. Bank of America is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 11 of the complaint, and therefore denies the same.

12. Bank of America states that the notice of federal tax lien referenced in paragraph 12 of the complaint speaks for itself, and denies any allegation in paragraph 12 inconsistent with the notice.

13. Bank of America states that the notice of federal tax lien referenced in paragraph 13 of the complaint speaks for itself, and denies any allegation in paragraph 13 inconsistent with the notice.

14. Bank of America is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 14 of the complaint, and therefore denies the same.

15. Every allegation in the complaint not expressly admitted above is denied.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Bank of America alleges that plaintiff has failed to state facts sufficient to constitute any cause of action against Bank of America.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Bank of America alleges that plaintiff's claims are barred in whole or in part due to plaintiff's failure to take reasonable steps to mitigate damages, if any.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on the 20th day of February, 2014, and pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(b), I served via CM/ECF a true and correct copy of the foregoing **BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT**, postage prepaid to the following parties:

Kathryn Keneally, Esq.
Virginia Cronan Lowe, Esq.
U.S. Department of Justice
P.O. Box 683
Ben Franklin Station
Washington, D.C. 20044

Attorneys for United States of America

/s/ Natalie Winslow

An employee of Akerman LLP